Solution of problem 2:

- a) The refractive index *n* is a function of the wavelength λ , i.e. n = n (λ). According to the given formula for the focal length *f* (see above) which for a given f yields to an equation quadratic in *n* there are at most two different wavelengths (indices of refraction) for the same focal length.
- b) If the focal length is the same for two different wavelengths, then the equation

$$f(\lambda_1) = f(\lambda_2) \quad or \quad f(n_1) = f(n_2) \tag{1}$$

holds. Using the given equation for the focal length it follows from equation (1):

$$\frac{n_1 r_1 r_2}{(n_1 - 1) \left[n_1 (r_2 - r_1) + d(n_1 - 1) \right]} = \frac{n_2 r_1 r_2}{(n_2 - 1) \left[n_2 (r_2 - r_1) + d(n_2 - 1) \right]}$$

Algebraic calculations lead to:

$$\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{d} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}_1 \mathbf{n}_2} \right) \tag{2}$$

If the values of the radii r_{1} , r_{2} and the thickness satisfy this condition the focal length will be the same for two wavelengths (indices of refraction). The parameters in this equation are subject to some physical restrictions: The indices of refraction are greater than 1 and the thickness of the lens is greater than 0 m. Therefore, from equation (2) the relation

$$d > r_1 - r_2 > 0 \tag{3}$$

is obtained.

r _I	<i>r</i> ₂	condition	shape of the lens	centre of curvature
$r_i > 0$	<i>r</i> ₂ > 0	$0 < r_1 - r_2 < d$ or $r_2 < r_1 < d + r_2$	St Sz MyMz	$\frac{M_2 is always}{\substack{right of M_1.\\ \hline M_1M_2 < \overline{S_1S_2}}}$
<i>r</i> ₁ > 0	<i>r</i> ₂ < 0	$ r_1 + r_2 < d$	S1 M, M2 S2	Order of points: $S_1M_1M_2S_2$
$r_l < 0$	$r_2 > 0$	never fulfilled		
<i>r</i> ₁ < 0	<i>r</i> ₂ < 0	$0 < r_2 - r_1 < d$ or $ r_1 < r_2 < d + r_1 $	M ₁ M ₂ S ₁ S ₂	$\frac{M_2 is always}{\substack{right of M_1.\\ \overline{M_1M_2} < \overline{S_1S_2}}}$

The following table shows a discussion of different cases:

c) The radius r_1 or the radius r_2 is infinite in the case of the planconvex lens. In the following it is assumed that r_1 is infinite and r_2 is finite.

$$\lim_{r_{l} \to \infty} f = \lim_{r_{l} \to \infty} \frac{n r_{2}}{\left(n-1\right) \left[n \left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{l}}-1\right) + \left(n-1\right) \frac{d}{r_{l}} \right]} = \frac{r_{2}}{1-n}$$
(4)

Equation (4) means, that for each wavelength (refractive index) there exists a different value of the focal length.

d) From the given formula for the focal length (see problem formulation) one obtains the following quadratic equation in n:

$$A \cdot n^2 + B \cdot n + C = 0 \tag{5}$$

with $A = (r_2 - r_1 + d) \cdot f$, $B = -[f \cdot (r_2 - r_1) + 2 \cdot f \cdot d + r_1 \cdot r_2]$ and $C = f \cdot d$.

Solutions of equation (5) are:

$$n_{1,2} = -\frac{B}{2 \cdot A} \pm \sqrt{\frac{B^2}{4 \cdot A^2} - \frac{C}{A}}$$
(6).

Equation (5) has only one physical correct solution, if...

I)
$$A = 0$$
 (i.e., the coefficient of n^2 in equation (5) vanishes)
In this case the following relationships exists:

$$\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{d} \tag{7},$$

$$n = \frac{f \cdot d}{f \cdot d + r_1 \cdot r_2} > 1 \tag{8}.$$

II)
$$B = 0$$
 (i.e. the coefficient of *n* in equation (5) vanishes)

In this case the equation has a positive and a negative solution. Only the positve solution makes sense from the physical point of view. It is:

$$f \cdot (r_2 - r_1) + 2 \cdot f \cdot d + r_1 \cdot r_2 = 0$$
(9),

$$n^{2} = -\frac{C}{A} = -\frac{d}{\left(r_{2} - r_{1} + d\right)} > 1$$
(10),

III) $B^2 = 4 AC$

In this case two identical real solutions exist. It is:

$$\left[f\cdot\left(r_2-r_1\right)+2\cdot f\cdot d+r_1\cdot r_2\right]^2=4\cdot\left(r_2-r_1+d\right)\cdot f^2\cdot d$$
(11),

$$n = -\frac{B}{2 \cdot A} = \frac{f \cdot (r_2 - r_1) + 2 \cdot f \cdot d + r_1 \cdot r_2}{2 \cdot f (r_2 - r_1 + d)} > 1$$
(12).