

Solution of question 1.

- a - Consider first the x-direction. If waves coming from neighbouring slits (with separation d_1) traverse paths of lengths that differ by:

$$\Delta_1 = n_1 \cdot \lambda$$

where n_1 is an integer, then a principal maximum occurs. The position on the screen (in the x-direction) is:

$$x_{n_1} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{d_1}$$

since $d_1 \ll d_2$.

The path difference between the middle slit and one of the slits at the edge is then:

$$\Delta_{\left(\frac{N_1}{2}\right)} = \frac{N_1}{2} \cdot n_1 \cdot \lambda$$

If on the other hand this path difference is:

$$\Delta_{\left(\frac{N_1}{2}\right)} = \frac{N_1}{2} \cdot n_1 \cdot \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

then the first minimum, next to the principal maximum, occurs. The position of this minimum on the screen is given by:

$$x_{n_1} + \Delta x = \frac{\left(\frac{N_1}{2} \cdot n_1 \cdot \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \cdot L}{\frac{N_1}{2} \cdot d_1} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{d_1} + \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_1 \cdot d_1}$$

$$\rightarrow \Delta x = \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_1 \cdot d_1}$$

The width of the principal maximum is accordingly:

$$2 \cdot \Delta x = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_1 \cdot d_1}$$

A similar treatment can be made for the y-direction, in which there are N_2 slits with separation d_2 . The positions and widths of the principal maximal are:

$$(x_{n_1}, y_{n_2}) = \left(\frac{n_1 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{d_1}, \frac{n_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{d_2}\right)$$

$$2 \cdot \Delta x = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_1 \cdot d_1} ; \quad 2 \cdot \Delta y = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_2 \cdot d_2}$$

An alternative method of solution is to calculate the intensity for the 2-dimensional grid as a function of the angle that the beam makes with the screen.

- b - In the x-direction the beam 'sees' a grid with spacing a , so that in this direction we have:

$$x_{n_1} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{a} \quad \Delta x = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_0 \cdot a}$$

In the y-direction, the beam 'sees' a grid with effective spacing $a \cdot \cos(\Theta)$. Analogously, we obtain:

$$y_{n_2} = \frac{n_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{a \cdot \cos(\Theta)} \quad \Delta y = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_0 \cdot a \cdot \cos(\Theta)}$$

In the z-direction, the beam 'sees' a grid with effective spacing $a \cdot \sin(\Theta)$. This gives rise to principal maxima with position and width:

$$y'_{n_3} = \frac{n_3 \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{a \cdot \sin(\Theta)} \quad \Delta y' = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot L}{N_1 \cdot a \cdot \sin(\Theta)}$$

This pattern is superimposed on the previous one. Since $\sin(\Theta)$ is very small, only the zeroth-order pattern will be seen, and it is very broad, since $N_1 \cdot \sin(\Theta) \ll N_0$. The diffraction pattern from a plane wave falling on a thin plate of a cubic crystal, at a small angle of incidence to the normal, will be almost identical to that from a two-dimensional grid.

- c - In Bragg reflection, the path difference for constructive interference between neighbouring planes:

$$\Delta = 2 \cdot a \cdot \sin(\phi) \approx 2 \cdot a \cdot \phi = n \cdot \lambda \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{x}{L} \approx 2 \cdot \phi \approx \frac{n \cdot \lambda}{a} \quad \rightarrow \quad x \approx \frac{n \cdot \lambda \cdot L}{a}$$

Here ϕ is the angle of diffraction.

This is the same condition for a maximum as in section b.

- d - For the distance, $\sqrt{2} \cdot a$, between neighbouring K ions we have:

$$\text{tg}(2\phi) = \frac{x}{L} = \frac{0,053}{0,1} \approx 0,53 \quad \rightarrow \quad a = \frac{\lambda}{2 \cdot \sin(\phi)} \approx \frac{0,15 \cdot 10^{-9}}{2 \cdot 0,24} \approx 0,31 \text{ nm}$$

$$K-K \approx \sqrt{2} \cdot 0,31 \approx 0,44 \text{ nm}$$

Marking Breakdown

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----|
| a | position of principal maxima | :1 |
| | width of principal maxima | :3 |
| b | lattice constants | :1 |
| | effect of thickness | :2 |
| c | Bragg reflection | :2 |
| d | Calculation of K-K spacing | :1 |